



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,132	02/28/2005	Terrence E Hogan	P02039US2A	2691
John M Vasuta Chief IP Counsel Bridgestone Americas Holding 1200 Firestone Parkway Akron, OH 44317				
7550 01/12/2010			EXAMINER	
			RABAGO, ROBERTO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2010	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/526,132	HOGAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Roberto Rábago	1796	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Roberto Rábago.

(2) Arthur M. Reginelli.

**Date of Interview:** 7 January 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

*16, 19 and 21*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

*/Roberto Rábago/*  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

**Status of Application:** Amendment after final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11:00

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that if amendments were made to claims 16, 19 and 21, the application could be allowed. Some species of claims 19 and 21 were outside the scope of the parent claim, and claim 16 required correction of the drawn structure. Applicants' representative agreed, and the details of an Examiner's amendment were developed which provided for allowance of the claims. See Notice of Allowability prepared on same date for specific changes to the claims.